

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/613,981 | 07/03/2003 | Louis Brown Abrams | 4811-14 | 4355 |
| 22442 SHERIDAN RO | 7590 06/01/200° OSS PC | 7 | EXAMINER | |
| 1560 BROADV | | JUSKA, CHERYL ANN | | |
| SUITE 1200 DENVER, CO | 80202 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1771 | *** |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/01/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | A 12 14 14 | T | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| · | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | | 10/613,981 | ABRAMS, LOUIS BROWN | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Cheryl Juska | 1771 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133) | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)[\times] | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 M | arch 2007 | | | | |
| | | action is non-final. | | | | |
| · | ,— | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | Claim(s) 45-81 is/are pending in the application | 1 | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | | | | |
| _ | Claim(s) <u>45-60,62-71 and 73-81</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) 61 and 72 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| | ion Papers | ologion rogalioment. | | | | |
| - | | • | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 44)[]: | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| the second secon | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | • | | | |
|) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| | / | | | | | |
| 3) ⊠ Inform Pape |) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/07</u> . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | |
| | 0) L. J Ottiet | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Applicant's amendment filed with the RCE on March 27, 2007, has been entered. The specification has been amended as requested. Claims 1-44 have been cancelled and replaced with new claims 45-81.
- 3. The cancellation of claims 11 and 17 renders moot the double patenting rejection set forth in section 4 of the last Office Action (12/27/06). Additionally, the cancellation of claims 1-44 renders moot the 112, 1st rejections and prior art rejections set forth in sections 5-10 of the last Office Action.

Priority

4. It is noted that the first line of the specification has not been amended to reflect the proper priority documents as set forth in section 2 of the Office Action mailed 01/30/06.

Applicant traverses the assertion that the referenced provisional applications lack adequate

Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

support for the claimed elastic film and second, discontinuous adhesive layer (Amendment, page 9, 1st – 4th paragraph). Specifically, applicant cites several passages from US Provisional Application 60/403,992, filed August 16, 2002. This argument is unpersuasive since priority was granted to provisional applications 60/403,992 and 60/405473, but not the other three cited provisional applications 60/393,362, 60/416,098, and 60/443,986. From section 2 of the Office Action mailed 01/30/06 (emphasis added):

Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. [1] as follows:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The disclosures of the prior-filed applications, Application Nos. 60/393,362, 60/416,098, and 60/443,986, fail to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application. Specifically, said prior-filed applications fail to provide support for the claimed elastic film and second, discontinuously distributed, adhesive layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,981

Art Unit: 1771

6. Claims 61 and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 4

- 7. Claim 61 is indefinite for the use of the phrase "a not melt polyester web adhesive." The scope of said claim is unclear.
- 8. Claim 72 recites the limitation "the elastic film" in sections (i), (ii), and (iii) of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Note the claim positively recites an elastic layer rather than an elastic film.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 45-60, 62-71, and 73-81 are allowed. The prior art fails to teach or suggest a flocked article comprising a combination of a thermoset flock adhesive and an elastic film (substrate)
- 10. Claims 61 and 72 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 571-272-1477. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached Art Unit: 1771

at 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

